SAMRIDDHI Volume 12, Issue 2, 2020

Print ISSN: 2229-7111

Surface Flow Visualization Tests on Swept Back Wing Configuration Subjected to Subsonic Flow

Samrat Biswas¹, Animesh Roy², Prabir Kumar De³, Bireswar Majumdar⁴

^{1,2}Research Scholar, Dept. of Power Engg.; Jadavpur University

³Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engg.; Jadavpur University

⁴Professor, Dept. of Power Engg.; Jadavpur University

Abstract

For this investigation swept-back configuration of the wing has been studied experimentally utilizing the surface flow visualization method. Swept-back wings have been widely implemented to achieve high aerodynamic efficiency under high-speed operation. To understand flow structure over wing the pattern formed by surface flow visualization techniques over wing leeward surface has been well implemented in aerodynamic literature. However, it is desirable to investigate the flow structure formed over swept-back wing under low-speed operation. In this study, an experimental study was conducted using surface flow visualization technique upon swept-back, tapered wing configuration. The wing has aspect ratio of 4 and designed using RAE2822 airfoil configuration. Changes in flow pattern with change in angle of attack have mainly been studied. Oil flow visualization studies showed increase in heavy span-wise flow with an increase in angle of incidence. At low-speed operation, the formation of separation bubble over the airfoil and induced span-wise flow from the presence of sweep and taper create three-dimensional vortex flow structure over the wing.

Keywords: Supercritical airfoil, Surface flow Visualization, Swept back tapered wing, Three-dimensional flow structure. SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology (2020); DOI: 10.18090/samriddhi.v12i02.2

INTRODUCTION

Sworld-war II in 1950s. Among recently declassified reports of NASA it was found that pioneer of swept-wing design Jones¹ did a study on effect of sweep back on boundary layer and separation in 1947. Swept tapered wing designs were extensively implemented in aircraft design for safe, cheap, and high-speed travel.

Haines and Rhodes² in 1954 performed an experimental study on swept-back wings in between mach no. 0.50 to 0.95. They found that at high incidence, a part span vortex sheet originates from near the lead edge at the inboard end of separation and trails back across the wing.

Haines³ in 1954 further performed surface flow investigation at high incidence and low mach no. and concluded that wings suffer from leading-edge separation.

In 1970s Whitcomb⁴ at NASA pioneered the design of supercritical airfoil to improve wing performance in high speed operation. Poll⁵ implemented oil-flow visualization to on RAE 101 airfoil profile wing at several different swept angle configuration within Reynolds number range of 1.1×10^6 to 2.7×10^6 . Poll observed the formation of spiral vortex structure indicated in oil flow pattern for swept back angle (Λ) exceeding 15°. He analyzed that formation of spiral vortex structure consists of flow separation near the leading edge

Corresponding Author: Samrat Biswas, Research Scholar, Dept. of Power Engg.; Jadavpur University, e-mail: samratb. mech@gmail.com

How to cite his article: Biswas, S., Roy, A., De, P.K., & Majumdar, B. (2020). Article Title. *SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 12(2), 68-71.

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None

and reattachment of flow near trailing edge after separation bubble. To further illustrate, a schematic of 3D flow structure over the tapered swept-back wing is shown in Figure 1.

Carmichael B.H. (1982)⁶ in his outstanding report named 'Low Reynolds Number Airfoil Survey' for the first time arranged together the most exhaustive list of references available of known experimental and theoretical results regarding low Reynolds number analysis.

Swept-back wing configuration is still widely adopted design for commercial as well as military aircrafts. In modern days, technological improvements lead to a small scale flying machine known as MAV (Micro Air Vehicle) or UAV (Unmanned Air Vehicle), which mostly implements swept-back wing. MAVs have several different used case scenarios e.g., fire detection, monitoring and controlling traffic and military

[©] The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



Figure 1: Schematic of swept-back, tapered wing vortex flow

reconnaissance etc.⁷ These MAVs and military aircrafts frequently operate within very low Reynolds number region while landing, take-off or mid-air maneuvering conditions etc.

However, the basic natures of most of the experimental investigations are of confidential nature and especially the practical investigation related results and data. Despite an understanding of flow structure and boundary layer formed over wing during low-speed operation is still a topic of interest.⁸⁻¹⁶ Besides the applications of micro UAVs and aircrafts present investigation also aims to contribute toward the design or understanding of other applications of wing, e.g., wind turbine generators, fluid mixing machines, blades of rotary equipments, swirling fans in burner etc.

Therefore, due to the interesting wider application and scarcity in the availability of experimental data in open literature of tapered swept wing configuration, in an effort to extend the understanding of change in boundary layer flow features with change in incidence a surface flow visualization study was conducted on a tapered swept-back wing designed using supercritical (RAE2822) airfoil operating under low Reynolds number.

EXPERIMENTAL **A**RRANGEMENTS

The experiment is carried out at a free- stream velocity (u_{∞}) of 12m/s at Reynolds number of 1.5×10^5 based on the mean aerodynamic chord. The current investigation was carried out in an open-loop, suction-type subsonic wind tunnel located at Jadavpur University, India, Power Engineering, Fluid Mechanics, and M/C Laboratory. Wind tunnel has a test section of $0.6m \times 0.6m$ covered in transparent plexiglas windows on top and side walls to facilitate flow visualization studies. A schematic diagram of the wind tunnel is shown in Figure 2.

For the present experiment, a wing model designed as 30° swept-back, tapered and tail-less design utilizing RAE



[1] Honeycomb, [2] Screens, [3] Settling chamber, [4] Contraction Cone (9:1), [5] Test Section (SQ 600X600mm), [6] Transparent Panel, [7] Transition Piece, [8] Fan, [9] Damper, [10] Diffuser





Figure 3: Geometry of Wing Model

2822¹⁷ airfoil profile. Top surface of the composite structure wing model was fabricated using a polished aluminum alloy plate, the planform of which is shown in Figure 3.

The entire set of experiments is carried out at a freestream velocity (u_{∞}) of 12m/s. For the oil flow visualization experiment, ratio of the amount of oil, pigment and additive in oil-flow mixture and also the density of mixture was decided by several trial and errors. The experiment was carried out at several different angles of incidence. After applying the oil-pigment mixture over wing surface, model is kept under experimental flow conditions for a certain amount of time. Then the model was taken out and picture was taken and inverted for qualitative analysis.

RESULTS AND **D**ISCUSSION

Experiments were conducted over swept-back tapered wing configuration between α of 0° and 30° and at Re_{MAC} of 5.95×104. Figure 4 illustrates surface flow pattern formed on leeward surface of wing model from oil flow visualization experiments. Along with the experimental images black dotted line with an arrow are drawn schematically over the experimental images to delineate probable flow patterns in allusion with the surface flow pattern observed from experiments. Figure 4 gives a hint towards the formation of several different flow conditions e.g., attached flow, laminar separation, separation bubble, and three-dimensional flow etc.¹⁸ Schematic sketch of two-dimensional imaginary flows over the airfoil according to oil flow patterns is also added at the bottom for each angle of incidence.





Figure 4: Surface Flow Visualization Pattern With Schematic Sketches Over Leeward Surface of Swept-Back, Tapered Wing Model

Form Figure 4 (a). it can be observed that at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ boundary layer remains attached throughout the wing leeward surface as a result pattern seems to indicate movement along mainstream direction staring from leading edge to trailing edge of the wing model. It appears the airflow through the wing surface smoothly without producing any trace of separation or vortical structure. Figure 4(b) illustrates that at $\alpha = 5^{\circ}$ compared to 0° span-wise flow gets increased. Flow patterns indicate distortion of flow lines near mid and outboard portion or near tip of the wing model. Distortion of flow near the wing tip may have been caused by end effects as discussed by Bertin and Smith.¹⁹ Near the inboard section, a considerable portion of flow seems to have remained two-dimensional. Along the trailing edge of the wing formation of a flow separation line can also be observed. Figure 4(c) indicates flow pattern formation at $\alpha = 10^{\circ}$. It can be observed that flow lines gets pushed more toward the outboard portion of wing under heavy influence of spanwise flow. Compared to $\alpha = 5^{\circ}$ separation line appears to be moved upstream near mid and outboard portion of wing surface.

At $\alpha = 15^{\circ}$ flow patterns indicate the formation of separation bubble over the wing leeward surface as depicted in Figure 4(d). Separation bubble appeared to be formed near leading edge and leaves an impression of separation

structure near the trailing edge. An increase in span-wise flow components can also be observed as the flow lines originating from the inboard get pushed towards the wing model's outboard section. Figures 4 (e) and 4(f) represent flow pattern formation at 20° and 30°, respectively. The threedimensional structure appears to be present for both angles of attack. It can be observed that flow patterns originating from a near inboard portion of wing make more tight turn in comparison to $\alpha = 15^\circ$ seems to indicate the formation of 3D flow. It can be deducted that defected flow from the leadingedge help to form a 3D vortex structure over the wing.

It can be deduced that as flow gets deflected from the leading edge forms a three-dimensional vortex over the wing. With an increase in angle of attack the three-dimensional bubble over the wing gets pushed forward.

CONCLUSION

In the present note, surface flow visualization is utilized to study flow structure over swept-back, tapered wing of RAE2822 airfoil while operating under low Reynolds number.

Flow visualization results at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, 5°, 10°, 15°, 20°, and 30° were discussed. The results indicate increase in span-wise flow component over suction surface of wing with increment in α . Careful scrutiny of figures indicates different flow features like attached flow, laminar separation, separation bubble, three-dimensional flow etc. From $\alpha = 15^{\circ}$ or higher formation of three-dimensional vortex structure can be estimated over wing suction surface.

Nomenclature

English Symbols

AoA	Angle of attack
$AR = b^2/S$	Aspect Ratio
MAC	Mean Aerodynamic Chord
Re _{MAC}	Reynolds number based on Mean
	Aerodynamic Chord
u _m	Free-stream velocity

Greek Symbols

- α Angle of Attack
- Λ Swept Angle

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert T. Jones, "Effects Of SweepBack On Boundary Layer And Separation," Techinal Report No. 884, 1947.
- [2] A. B. Haines and C. W. Rhodes, "Tests in The R.A.E. 10ft x 7ft High Speed Tunnel on Three Wings With 50 deg Sweepback and 7-5 Percent Thick Sections," Reports and Memoranda No. 3043, 1954.
- [3] A. B. Haines, "Some Notes On The Flow Patterns Observed Over Various Swept-Back Wings At Low Mach Numbers (in The 10ft x 7ft High Speeed Tunnel)," Reports and Memoranda No. 3192, 1954.
- [4] Wallace, E. Lane, and Pamela E. Mack, The Whitcomb Area Rule:



NACA Aerodynamics Research and Innovation. From Engineering Science to Big Science, Pamela E. Mack, Ed. Washington, D.C.: University Press of the Pacific, 1998.

- [5] D. I. A. Poll, "Spiral Vortex FLow Over a Swept-Back Wing," *The Aeronautical Journal*, vol. 95, no. 895, pp. 185-199, 1986.
- [6] B. H. Carmichael, "Low Reynolds Number Airfoil Survey," Technical Report 1982.
- [7] T. J. Mueller and James D. DeLaurier, "Aerodynamics of Small Vehicles," Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, vol. 35, pp. 89-111, 2003.
- [8] R. F. Huang, J. C. Cheng, J. K. Chen, and C. M. Hsu, "Manipulating Flow To Reduce Drag of A Square Cylinder By Using A Self-Sustained Vibrating Rod.," *Journal of Fluid Engineering*, vol. 133, no. 5, p. 051202, 2011.
- [9] Md. Mahbub Alam, Y. Zhou, and H. X. Yang, "The Ultra-Low Reynolds NUmber Airfoil Wake," *Experiments in Fluids*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 81-103, 2010.
- [10] H. Hu and Z. Yang, "An Experimental Study of The Laminar Flow Separation On A Low-Reynolds-Number Airfoil.," *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, vol. 130, no. 5, p. 051101, 2008.
- [11] S. C. Yen and C. M. Hsu, "Influence of Boundary Layer Behavior on Aerodynamic Coefficients of A Swept-Back Wing.," *Journal* of Fluids Engineering, vol. 129, no. 6, pp. 674-681, 2007.
- [12] S. Yarusevych, P. E. Sullivan, and J. G. Kawall, "Coherent Structures In An Airfoil Boundary Layer And Wake At Low

Reynolds Numbers.," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 044101, 2006.

- [13] J. A. Ekaterinarias, M. S. Chandrasekhara, and M. F. Platzer, "Analysis of Low Reynolds Number Airfoil Flows," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 625-630, 1995.
- [14] A. V. Arena and T. J. Mueller, "Laminar Separation, Transition, and Turbulent Reattachment near the Leading Edge of Airfoils.," *AIAA Journal*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 747-753, 1980.
- [15] S. Saha and B. Majumder, "Modeling and simulation on double delta wing," *International Journal od Advanced Computer Research*, vol. 3, no. 8, March 2003.
- [16] J. Yuvaprakash, P. Kumar, S. Das, and J. K. Prasad, "Experimental and numerical studies on double delta wing with sharp leading edge at subsonic speed," *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology*, vol. 1, no. 3, July 2016.
- [17] Michael Selig. (2018) UIUC Applied Aerodynamics Group, Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Illinois. [Online]. http://m-selig.ae.illinois.edu/ads/coord_database. html
- [18] Sighard F. Hoerner and Henry V. Borst, *Fluid-Dynamic Lift*, 2nd ed., Henry V. Borst, Ed. Brick Town, NJ, USA: Mrs. Liselotte A. Hoerner, 1975.
- [19] J. J. Bertin and M. L. Smith, *Aerodynamics for Engineers*, 2nd ed. NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1989.

